International Journal of Engineering Technology and Management Sciences
Website: ijetms.in Issue: 1 Volume No.7 January - February – 2023
DOI:10.46647/ijetms.2023.v07i01.018 ISSN: 2581-4621

System Hypothesis Implications of Algebraic Geometry

Chandrashekara A C
Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Maharani's Science College for Women, Mysuru, Karnataka, India.
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4104-5438

ABSTRACT
Certain pole-placement concepts, such as an enhanced form of pole location with output response, are proven using fundamental algebraic geometry equations. Illustrations that highlight the algebra-geometric equations drawbacks and its possible application to systems analysis are shown. This study and ones that may come after it may help to make the potent theorems of current algebraic geometry comprehensible and useful for solving technical hurdles.
KEYWORDS: Algebraic geometric literature, rational mappings, complex structures.

1. Introduction

This article aims to present a novel method for the investigation of a category of system theoretical issues. In this initial article, the implementation is used to show that several well-known pole-placement theories is easily interpretable and in the situation of output response, a novel conclusion is possible [1].
The consideration of a series of findings from the algebraic and geometric literature is done. The image collection of a rational map nearly covers the map's scope according to the proposition, which are concerned about the image groups of rational mappings. For such maps seen in systems analysis, the prerequisites appear to be feasible to achieve.
The maps for output responses should be specified across the complex scalar domain in the broadest sense possible. Therefore, the actual scalar field could be employed in the unique scenario of state feedback. We have also looked at if sophisticated feedback could be understood within a systems theoretic approach, and it seems that it may, at certainly to a considerable extent [2,3].
In the context of cyclic matrices, a novel criterion for reliability is developed that is fully algebraic and may be investigated statistically. The demonstration of the unmanageable entities' location on specific algebraic categories of lower dimensions provided by such a criterion is logically effective.
If two well-known issues can be solved, is it sufficient to bring an obscure topic like algebraic geometry? It is believed that the methods described could be used to structures with limitless dimensions in addition to instances with limited dimensions. Although inferior differential-topological techniques, like the implicit function assumption are present, they may not expand as strongly as the fundamental algebra-geometric ones, of course. We have rewritten the challenge for pole-placement difficulties so that functions are implicated rather than eigenvalues. This method has been shown to be helpful for transferring concepts from instances with limited dimensions to those with unlimited dimensions in maths [4-6].

2. Basic Views on Rational Mappings, System Theory and Algebraic Geometry

Every field that employs math appears to develop become particularly fond of one specific aspect of the subject. Development in that field is frequently dependent on answers to specific important arithmetic problems. For instance, the concept of regular differential equations has historically been connected to conventional mechanics, functional assessment to quantum physics, combinatorial concept to operations studies etc.
Although the field of systems analysis is considerably nebulous, one may still identify specific relationships of this nature, specific of that are previously been investigated. Moreover, algebraic geometry has some possibly significant connections. This is mostly since logical units of actual or

DOI:10.46647/ijetms.2023.v07i01.018 ISSN: 2581-4621

complex parameters are encountered everywhere. The area of arithmetic that methodically examines logical variables is algebraic geometry [7].

However, algebraic geometry has evolved into the greatest esoteric and conceptual area of advanced math, in part due to how challenging the issues may be. Other factor is the extraordinarily wide nature of the conclusions that algebraic geometers are attempting to draw. For practical reasons, a more straightforward and tangible form frequently works just as effectively or even superior.

For instance, if it is tailored to the kinds of variables seen in systems theories, specific generic theorems concerning "rational maps" provide considerable relevant insight, for instance, towards the "pole-placement issue." As a conclusion, it is tried to present some findings which might be helpful in following parts. Shafarevich [8] and Humphreys were chosen as the sources.

Consider x= *(x₁, ••• ,xn)'* represent a column vector of complex variables *x* ₁,. ••, *xn*. C stands for complex values and *en* for complex n-vectors. If there are numerous polynomials in all these *n* complex variables and the subset is described as the collection of *en* points where such polynomials are nil, then the subset is referred to as algebraic.

A set of C is referred as Zariski closed if it contains a combination of a limited number of algebraic subsets. If a complement in C is Zariski closed, then a subgroup of C is Zariski open. The word "configuration" for C contains an explicit definition of the "Zariski open" subsets. Therefore, because it is not a Hausdorff structure, certain topological understanding depending on the typical configuration for real or complex values should be abandoned. In reality the meaningful use of topological concepts is not made, and they only serve as a helpful framework [9-11].

If a subset of C comprises a nonempty Zariski open subset of *en*, it is almost entirely comprised of *en*. As an alternative it is feasible to state that its complement should be minimal, and this should be included in a combination of algebraic subsets of smaller size.

Whereas if image set p(X) covers nearly whole of C, a map with indeterminate space X as domain and en as range is virtually onto.

q;:X--+C"….(1)

A rational function is a component on C that has the structure of the division of polynomials ie

p(x) X--+j(x)= --q(x)…..(2)

where *x--+p(x), q(x)* are polynomial equation.

It is the map of Zariski open subsets of C" to C if the set of locations in which the denominator q(x) disappears is taken out of C". Regardless of the facts that they are neither completely accurately described on C", it is nevertheless appropriate to refer to these as maps of C"—+ C. The collection of rational operations on C is denoted by RF (Cn). Two of these variables may be combined, multiplied, and divided which means that they constitute a domain in the algebraic concept. Let the collection of all polynomials constructed on C be denoted by PF(C")

An integral region could be created by multiplying and adding two variables. Also, PF(Cn)c; and RF (C ") and that RF(C") is the shortest domain that includes PF and that PF(C") creates RF(C") in this way (C")

An m-vector of rational function on C is referred to as a rational map. A ring homomorphism is defined by these rational mappings.

$(\phi_1(x)_, \ldots, \phi_m(x))'$….(3)

q;: en-cm

By substitution:

If *Y--+P(Y)* is a polynomial on *cm*, then *q;*(p)*

is the rational function *x--+p(q;(x))* on C"

$\phi^*: \mathrm{PF}(\mathrm{C}^m) \rightarrow \mathrm{RF}(\mathrm{C}^n)$….(4)

When the given criterion is met, the rational map *cp* is a submersion in the perspective of algebraic geometry:

*cp**, as a map from *PF(C"')-RF(C")* is one-one….(5)

It is to be remembered that *¢** may be expanded to a one-to-one map from *RF(Cm)* to *RF(C")*

DOI:10.46647/ijetms.2023.v07i01.018 ISSN: 2581-4621

if constraint (5) is met. Dominant morphism appears to be the frequently utilized in algebro-geometric research. The differential geometry word is replaced with submersion because it makes far more sense intuitively.

This is the fundamental finding which will be required for systems theories implications.

Equation (5) is met if there is a position x_0E: *en* where the differential dcp(x_0) has rank *m* is onto cm, and the rational map rp is properly specified.

Such findings provide quiet potent descriptions of the overall characteristics of pictures under rational maps compared to the concept of differentiable or holomorphic features. The picture is surprisingly huge, which has spectacular consequences for the idea that the maps are determined by rational maps. In specific, the picture is such that *Cm\q;(X)* contains a combination of a limited range of lower dimension algebraic sets in addition of having nil measurement.

The fact that a position in the picture of the points of *en* where cp is clearly described can indefinitely resemble an arbitrary point of cm is further result that can be drawn from Basic Theorem (5). Hence a result, we shall demonstrate employing Basic Theorem (5) that stabilization which naturally just needs that the eigenvalues can be positioned into a given area feasible in the pole-placement issue, rather than that eigenvalues can be accurately positioned by the use of complicated responses [12].

A rational map generally does not have cp specified throughout. It may be described GL(n,C) as the subset of the n x n complex matrices of nonzero value to offer it at least one region where it is independent of singularity; GL(n,C) is a Lie group known as the universal linear group. GL(n,C) X, it is seen that cp is properly specified. Furthermore, we do understand that the picture set q;(GL(n,C)XDc)CL(Cn,cn) does fulfil the result of Theorem 2.1, i.e., it includes a Zariski open subset, based on the conventional findings regarding linearization of matrices by resemblance equivalence.

3. CONCLUSION

In this article, it is illustrated how effective techniques from the Mathematical research on algebraic geometry may be efficiently used in systems analysis. It is understood that in the event of pole placement by state feedback the elimination of the complex benefits by a Riccati similar conversion to reach the identical pole positions with real feedback, but further mathematical study is required to determine when the real scalar field may be employed. It is difficult to demonstrate an equivalent outcome for pole position employing output enhancements. It might seem that as the bound established in this work is significantly firmer than the bound that was established earlier. In this either complicated advances are necessary, or there are a select few instances where determining the poles is more challenging. In other words, the challenging systems may be found in the set S of Theorem 6.2. For devices having output, the question of whether genuine feedback can take the role of complicated feedback is still up for debate. But even for actual systems, it is possible to construct complex feedback as feedback with dynamic mitigation. Additionally, a large class of 2n-dimensional complex structures can be implemented as n-dimensional ones. The techniques presented in this work adapt straight away to circumstances when devices must also meet other requirements. Equivalent findings are established for Hamiltonian systems with feedback that maintains the nonlinear framework. Also, more diverse types of structures are examined where the state matrix is a component of an algebra and feedback maintains the algebraic structure. It is also demonstrated that the generic theory may be used to study a variety of algorithmic procedures. Several of the cases are briefly explored in the study.

References

[1] I. Shafarevich, Basic Algebraic Geometry. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 1974.
[2] J. Humphreys. Linear Algebraic Groups. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
[3] G. Birkhoff and S. MacLane, A Survey of Modern Algebra. New
York: Macmillan, 1965.
[4] D. G. Luenberger. Optimization by Vector Space Methods. New York: Wiley.

DOI:10.46647/ijetms.2023.v07i01.018 ISSN: 2581-4621

[5] M. Wonham. Linear Multivariable Control: A Geometric Approach.
New York: Springer 1974.
[6] R. Kalman. "Lectures on controllability and observability." presented at the Centro lnternazionale Mathematica Estioo (C. I. M.E.). July 1-9. 1968.
[7] R. Hermann and C. Martin. Algebro-Geometric and Lie-Theoretic methods in Systems Theory, Part A, Interdisciplinary Mathematics. Vol. 13. Brookline. MA: Math Sci. Press, 1976.
[8] H. Kimura, "Pole assignment by gain output feedback." IEEE
Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. AC-20, pp. 509-516. Aug. 1975.
[9] E. J. Davison and S. H. Wang. "On pole assignment in linear
multivariable systems using output feedback." IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. AC-20, pp. 516-518, Aug. 1975.
[10] R. Hermann and C. Martin. "Application of algebraic geometry to
System theory: Part 11. Feedback and pole placement for linear Hamilton system feedback, "preprint
[11] R. Hermann. "Some potential uses of algebraic geometry in systems theory and numerical analysis." preprint.
[12] R. Hermann and C. Martin, "A new application of algebraic geometry to systems theory." presented at the IEEE Conf. Decision and Control. Dec. 1976.