

Design And Analysis Of Cardiac Stent

S R Rahul^{*1}, T Sekar^{*2}, N Nandakumar^{*3}, S Periyasmay^{*4}

*1 PG student, Department of Engineering Design, Government College of Technology, Coimbatore, 641 013.Tamilnadu, India.
*2 Professor, Department of Manufacturing engineering, Government College of Technology, Coimbatore, 641 013.Tamilnadu, India.
*3 Professor, Department of Engineering Design, Government College of Technology, Coimbatore, 641 013.Tamilnadu, India.
*4 Associate Professor, Department of Engineering Design, Government College of Technology,

Coimbatore, 641 013.Tamilnadu, India. Corresponding Author Orcid ID : 0009-0006-2629-968X

Abstract: This project presents the modification and analysis of two stent designs based on an existing design. The modifications were made using SolidWorks, followed by ANSYS analysis to evaluate von Mises stress and strain, stress intensity, maximum principle stress, and total deformation. Design Expert was then utilized, employing the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) Box-Behnken method, to conclude the design. The generated ANOVA table enabled the identification of significant factors and interactions. Residual analysis was conducted to assess the model's fit and accuracy. The abstract highlights the iterative design process involving SolidWorks, ANSYS, and Design Expert, emphasizing the use of ANOVA and residual analysis to improve the stent design. The optimized stent designs exhibited improvements in stress distribution, strain, stress intensity, and deformation characteristics. This integrated approach utilizing SolidWorks, ANSYS, and Design Expert holds promise for enhancing stent performance and optimizing patient outcomes.

Key words: Von Mises Stress, stress intensity, maximum principle stress, and total deformation Response Surface Methodology (RSM)

I. INTRODUCTION

Atherosclerosis is one of the cardiovascular diseases. Its pathological mechanism is that fat or lipid substances are deposited on the arterial wall under the influence of various cardiovascular risk factors. These depositions form a large number of plaques, leading to arterial wall thickening, causing a vascular blockage, and affecting blood flowing .Severe atherosclerosis can also cause coronary artery disease, stroke, peripheral artery disease, or kidney problems. At present, the most common and effective treatment method in the world is Percutaneous Coronary Intervention (PCI). PCI is to unblock and restore blood by placing a vascular stent on the stenosis and hardening of the artery for expansion. PCI is minimally invasive and highly effective. In the treatment of PCI, the stent is a tiny tubular structure and used to expand the vessel wall and expand the vascular lumen to prevent the artery wall from recoiling and restore the cardiovascular obstructed by atherosclerosis.

Therefore, the vascular stent, as the sophisticated medical device for clinical treatment, should have ideal functions and mechanical properties: (1) high elasticity to realize the curling and re-expansion of the stent in the blood vessel; (2) high strength and fatigue resistance to withstand the periodic physiological load of arteries; (3) good biocompatibility to reduce the incidence of thrombosis and vascular restenosis and alleviate implant rejection in the body.

In addition, there are other properties. In addition to these features mentioned, 13 different properties of ideal stent were listed in the review article of Liu et al. which provides a great help for the design of vascular stents. And Liu et al. also pointed out that there were no perfect stents. The current clinical application of vascular stents, after decades of development, has



the corresponding therapeutic function and mechanical properties. Manufacturing technology and surgical technology are gradually becoming mature. What's more, the arterial blockage after interventional therapy has been significantly reduced. However, each stent still has its own advantages and drawbacks inevitably. A stent cannot cover all ideal properties, and usually offers several good proper- ties.

II. DESIGN

3D Modeling: SolidWorks allows users to create complex 3D models using a variety of sketching, surfacing, and solid modeling tools. It supports both parametric and direct modeling approaches, enabling efficient design iterations and modifications. Assembly Design: SolidWorks facilitates the assembly of multiple components by providing features such as mates, constraints, and smart components. It enables users to simulate the movement and interactions of the assembled parts . Simulation and Analysis: SolidWorks offers integrated simulation capabilities to evaluate the structural integrity, thermal behavior, and fluid flow characteristics of designs. It includes tools for finite element analysis (FEA), computational fluid dynamics (CFD), and motion analysis. Visualization and Rendering: SolidWorks enables users to create realistic renderings and visualizations of their designs. It supports various materials, textures, lighting effects, and camera settings to create compelling images and animations. Drawing and Documentation: SolidWorks includes tools for generating detailed engineering drawings, including dimensions, annotations, and views. It automates the creation of Bill of Materials (BOMs) and facilitates the generation of documentation for manufacturing and assembly processes. Collaboration and Integration: SolidWorks provides features for collaboration, allowing multiple users to work on the same design concurrently. It also integrates with other software and file formats, enabling interoperability with other CAD systems and supporting data exchange. Add-ons and Extensions: SolidWorks offers a range of add-ons and extensions, such as SolidWorks Simulation, SolidWorks Electrical, SolidWorks CAM, and more, to extend its functionality and cater to specific industry needs.

Software used	Solid works
Material	316 L Stainless Steel
Length	15mm
Diameter	3mm
Youngs Modulus	200Gpa
Density	0.29 lbm/in ³
Thermal conductivity	16.3 w/mk

Table 1 Design parameters



Design 1 Figure 1 stept 3D model 1 usi

Figure 1 stent 3D model 1 using solidworks

Design 2 Figure 2 stent 3D model 2 using solidworks







Figure 3 Equivalent von mises stress of stent design 1 Figure 4 Equivalent von mises stress of stent design 2

III RESULTS AND DISCUSSION EQUIVALENT STRESS

Von Mises stress is a widely used measure of stress in engineering applications, and it can be calculated using the finite element analysis capabilities of ANSYS software. ANSYS utilizes the principles of the finite element method to model and simulate the behavior of complex structures under various loading conditions. When analyzing stress in ANSYS, the von Mises stress is a scalar quantity derived from the tensorial stress components at each point within the model. It provides a measure of the equivalent stress experienced by a material, taking into account both the magnitude and distribution of the stress components. By visualizing the von Mises stress results, engineers can identify critical areas where the material may be prone to failure or deformation, allowing them to optimize designs and make informed decisions to ensure structural integrity and performance.





Figure 5 Equivalent von mises strain of stent design 1 stent design 2

Figure 6 Equivalent von mises strain of

EQUIVALENT ELASTIC STRAIN

Equivalent elastic strain, also known as von Mises strain, is a measure of the deformation experienced by a material under mechanical loading conditions, and it can be analyzed using ANSYS software. ANSYS employs the finite element method to simulate the behavior of structures and calculate the strain distribution within them. When evaluating equivalent elastic strain, ANSYS considers the strain components at each point in the model and combines them using the von Mises criterion. This criterion determines the effective strain experienced by the material, taking into account both the magnitude and direction of the individual strain components. By visualizing the equivalent elastic strain results, engineers can identify areas of high strain and potential failure, enabling them to optimize designs, assess material performance, and ensure the structural integrity of their designs.

TOTAL DEFORMATION

Total deformation is a critical aspect of structural analysis that can be calculated using ANSYS software. ANSYS employs the finite element method to simulate the behavior of complex



International Journal of Engineering Technology and Management Sciences Website: ijetms.in Issue: 5 Volume No.7 September - October - 2023 DOI:10.46647/ijetms.2023.v07i05.018 ISSN: 2581-4621

structures under various loading conditions. When analyzing total deformation, ANSYS considers the displacement and deformation of each element within the model. By solving the system of equations derived from the finite element analysis, ANSYS computes the displacement and deformation at each node of the model. The total deformation represents the cumulative effect of these displacements and deformations. By visualizing the total deformation results, engineers can gain insights into the overall structural response, identify areas of excessive deformation, and assess the potential for failure or performance issues. This information aids in optimizing designs, evaluating structural integrity, and making informed decisions to ensure the safety and reliability of the analyzed structures.



Figure 7 Total deformation of stent design 1 design 2

Figure 8 Total deformation of stent

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA TABLE)

Design Expert software is a powerful tool for conducting Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in experimental design. It provides researchers and analysts with a comprehensive platform to efficiently analyze and interpret the variation observed in their data. The software allows users to input their experimental design, including factors, levels, and responses, and performs ANOVA calculations to determine the significance of these factors and their interactions. Design Expert's intuitive interface enables users to visualize the results through graphical representations such as main effects plots, interaction plots, and contour plots, aiding in the identification of influential factors and optimal parameter settings. With its robust statistical capabilities and user-friendly features, Design Expert software streamlines the ANOVA process, facilitating the identification of significant factors and supporting informed decision-making in experimental design.

							Т	-							
NOVA for Linear r	nodel							Fit Statis	tics						
esponse 1: DEFORMATION							- Li			- 1					
		_						Std. Dev.	1.877E-09		ť		0.7953		
Source	Sum of	đ	Mean	E-value	p-value			Mean	5.66CE-09	4	djuster	d R ¹	0.7481		
	Squares		Square					C.V. %	33.16		redicte	ed R ²	0.6632		
Model	1.379E-16	3	5.931E-17	16.84	< 0.0001	significant	- 11				Ideq Pr	recision	11.5163		
A-STRESS	2.703E-18	1	2.703E-18	0.7674	0.3965		- 10								
8-STRAIN	1.471E-18	1	1.471E-18	0.4176	0.5294			The Predicts	H R ¹ of 0.6632	it in reasons	ble sor	nament u	with the		
C-TOTAL DEFORMATION	1.738E-16	1	1.738E-16	49.34	< 0.0001			Adjusted R ²	of 0.7481 i.e. t	he difference	it latt	than 0.2.			
Kesicual	4.578E-17	13	\$.5226-18												
Lack of Fit	2.909E-17	9	3.233E-18	0.7746	0.6568	not significant		Adeq Precis	ion measures t	he signal to r	noise ra	atio. A rati	io		
Pure Error	1.669E-17	-4	4.173E-18					greater than 4 is desirable. Your ratio of 11.516 indicates an							
Cor Total	2.237E-16	16						adequate sig	phal. This mode	I can be used	d to nav	rigate the	design		
Cor Total actor coding is Coded.	2.237E-16	16						adequate sig	v 😑 Coded	Equation	d to nav	rigate the	design		
Cor Total sctor coding is Coded. im of squares is Type III - I he Model F-value of 16.84 i at an F-value this large coul -values lass than 0.0500 ind	2.237E-16 Partial mplies the m d occur due icate model 1	ode to n	i is significa sise.	nt. There	is only a C	0.01% chance		Coefficients	 This mode Coded Coded Coded Coded 	Equation Common Contract Contr	d to nav Actue oded	Factor tandard Error	95% CI Low	95% CI High	VIF
Cor Total ector coding is Coded. Im of squares is Type III - I the Medel F-value of 16.84 i at an F-value this large coul values less than 0.0500 ind gnificant model term. Value	2.237E-16 Partial mplies the m d occur due icate model 1 s greater tha	to n	l is significa sise. s are signifi 000 indicat	nt. There icant. In t	is only a 0 his case C del terms	0.01% chance is a are not		Coefficients	 a Coded actor 	Coefficient S.660E-09	Actue Actue oded at St 1 4	Factor tandard Error L552E-10	95% CI Low 4.676E-09	95% Cl High 6.643E-09	VIF
Cor Total actor coding is Coded. am of squares is Type III - I he Model F-value of 16.84 at an F-value this large coal splitter of there are many is opficant. If where are many is	2.237E-16 Partial mplies the m d occur due icate model 1 s greater tha nsignificant r	16 to n term n 0.1	l is significa aise. s are signifi 000 indicat el terms (no	nt. There icant. In t e the mo t countin	is only a 0 his case C del terms g those re	0.01% chance is a are not quired to		Coefficients Coefficients	v 😑 Coded ents in Ter	Coefficient S.660E-09 5.813E-10	Actue Actue oded df St 1 4 1 6	Factor Factor tandard Error L552E-10 L635E-10	95% CI Low 4.676E-09 -8.522E-10	95% CI High 6.643E-09 2.015E-09	VIF
Cor Total actor coding is Coded. am of squares is Type III - I the Model F-value of 16.84 i at an F-value file large coul -values less than 0.0500 ind gnificant if there are many i upport hierarchy, model ree	2.237E-16 Partial mplies the m d occur due icate model t s greater tha nsignificant r fuction may i	16 ode to n term n 0.1 mpr	l is significa bise. s are signifi 000 indicat el terms (no ove your m	nt. There icant. In t e the mo t countin odel.	is only a 0 his case C del terms g those re	1.01% chance is a are not quired to		adequate sig Coefficients COEfficients Intercept A-STRESS B-STRAIN	ents in Ter	Coefficient Estimate 5.640E-09 5.813E-10 -4.287E-10	d to nav Actua oded df St 1 4 1 6 1 6	Factor Factor tandard Error 1552E-10 1635E-10 1635E-10	95% CI Low 4.676E-09 -8.522E-10 -1.062E-09	95% Cl High 6.643E-09 2.015E-09 1.005E-09	VIF 1.0000 1.0000
Cer Total ctor coding is Coded. Im of squares is Type III - I and F-value of 16.84 i at an F-value this large coul values less than 0.0500 ind pificantof there are many is pificant in there are many is pificant and there are many is	2.237E-16 Partial mplies the m d occur due icate model t s greater than nsignificant m duction may i	16 ode to n nod mpr	i is significa bise. s are signifi 000 indicat el terms (no ove your m	nt. There icant. In t e the mo t countin odel.	is only a 0 his case C del terms g those re	0.01% chance is a are not quired to		Coefficients	ents in Ter iator	Coefficient Estimate 3.660E-09 5.813E-10 4.661E-09	d to nav Actua oded df St 1 4 1 6 1 6 1 6	Factor Factor tandard Error 1552E-10 1635E-10 1635E-10	95% CI Low 4.676E-09 -8.522E-10 -1.062E-09 3.227E-09	95% Cl High 6.6438-09 2.0158-09 1.0058-09 6.0948-09	VIF 1.0000 1.0000
Cer Total stor coding is Coded. Im of squares is Type III - I the Model F-value of 16.84 at an F-value of 16.84 at an F-value of 16.84 values less than 0.0500 ind inficant in threa are many in piport hierarchy, model rec the Lack of Fit F-value of 0.2 Im of Theorie 16.688 when the Theorie 76.688 when the Total Im of Section that	2.237E-16 Partial mplies the m d occur due icate model 1 s greater tha nsignificant n duction may i 77 implies the	16 ode to n term n 0.1 mpr	l is significa sise. s are signifi 000 indicat el terms (no ove your m k of Fit is n fit formed	nt. There icant. In t e the mo t countin odel. ot signifi	is only a 0 his case C del terms g those re cant relativ	1.01% chance is a quired to to the pure who the		Coefficients Coefficients Intercept A-STRESS B-STRAIN C-TOTAL D	ents in Ter	Coefficient Estimate 5.660E-09 4.661E-09	d to nav Actual df df St 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Factor Factor tandard Error 1552E-10 1635E-10 1635E-10	95% C Low 4,676E-09 -1,862E-09 3,227E-09	95% Cl High 6.643E-09 2.015E-09 1.005E-09 6.094E-09	VIF 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000

Figure 9 ANOVA table for stent design 1



Analysis of Variance v							Fit Statistice	👻 😁 Mode	el Comparison	Stati	stics					
IOVA for Quadratic model							Fit Statis	Fit Statistics								
esponse 1: DEFORMATION							-									
6 mm	Sum of		Mean	e unha	a set a		Std. Dev.	6.202E-10 4.761E-00		din	rted R ²	0.9868				
source	Squares	a	Square	Privalue.	p-value		CV. %	13.02		red	icted R ²	0.8734				
Model	2.007E-16	9	2.230E-17	57.97	< 0.0001	significant				des	Precision	19,1150				
A-STRESS	7.411E-20	1	7.411E-20	0.1927	0.6739											
8-STRAIN	9.180E-19	1	9.180E-19	2.39	0.1663		The Bardist					inter all a				
C-TOTAL DEFORMATION	1.268E-17	1	1.268E-17	32.97	0.0007		Adjusted R ²	of 0.9/97 i.e. 1	the difference	is I	ess than 0.2.	oun one				
AB	3.782E-19	1	3.782E-19	0.9835	0.3544		,									
AC	0.0000	1	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000		Adeq Precis	ion measures t	he signal to r	nois	e ratio. A rat	io				
BC	0.0000	-	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000		greater than	4 is desirable.	Your ratio of	19.	115 indicate	s an				
al .	6.322E-21	-	0.3222-21	0.0164	0.9016		apeduate si	gnai. This mode	ii can be used	1 (0	navigate ine	oesign				
ci.	1.2222-10	1	1.0415.14	5.10	- 0.0001		Coefficients	· Coded	Fruition C	4	tual Equation					
Paridual	2.6025-10	7	2 0 465-10	40.003	10,0001											
Lark of Fit	1.492E-18	3	4.974E-19	1.65	03115	not significant	C	ante la Tra		а.	d Faster					
Pure Error	1,200E-18	4	3.000E-19				Coemicia	ents in Ter	ms of Co	de	d Facto	rs				
Cor Total	2.034E-16	16						Factor	Coefficient	df	Standard	95% CI	95% CI	VIF		
ctor coding is Coded.							Interrent		7.6165-00		2 7736-10	6.9625-09	0.2745.00	_		
m of squares is Type III - F	Partial						A-STRESS		9.625E-11	1	2.193E-10	-4.222E-10	6.147E-10	1,0000		
							B-STRAIN		3.388E-10	1	2.193E-10	-1.797E-10	8.572E-10	1.0000		
e Model F-value of 57.97 i	implies the m	ode	l is significa	nt. There	is only a 0.	.01% chance	C-TOTAL D	EFORMATION	1.259E-09	1	2.193E-10	7.405E-10	1.777E-09	1.0000		
at an F-value this large coul	d occur due t	to n	oise.				AB		-3.075E-10	1	3.101E-10	-1.041E-09	4.257E-10	1.0000		
values less than 0.0500 ind	icate model t	FCTT	s are signifi	icant. In t	is case C	C ² are	AC		0.0000	1	3.101E-10	-7.332E-10	7.332E-10	1.0000		
gnificant model terms. Value	es greater that	in 0	1000 indica	te the me	del terms	are not	BC		0.0000	1	3.101E-10	-7.332E-10	7.332E-10	1.0000		
gnificant. If there are many i	insignificant n	hod	el terms (no	t countin	g those rec	quired to	A		3.875E-11	1	3.022E-10	-6.759E-10	7.534E-10	1.01		
ipport hierarchy), model rec	duction may i	mpr	ove your m	odel.			B2		5.388E-10	1	3.022E-10	-1.759E-10	1.2538-09	1.01		
							And a second second second									

Figure 10 ANOVA table for stent design 2

The actual graph as shown in fig 6.2 describe the expected outcome of a normal plot of residuals for a stent design with a deformation of 9.801E-9 in Design Expert software. In this case, the normal plot of residuals would exhibit a similar behavior to the one described earlier. The plot would show the distribution of residuals with their quantiles, and a straight line would indicate a normal distribution. If the residuals closely follow the straight line, it would suggest that the model assumptions are met and that the stent design with a deformation of 9.801E-9 is statistically acceptable. However, if the residuals significantly deviate from the line, it would indicate potential lack of fit or other issues with the model, requiring further investigation and possible refinement of the stent design parameters.





Figure 11 Normal plot of Residuals for stent design 1 for stent design 2

Figure 12 Normal plot of Residuals

Stent design dataset with lambda = 1 and K = 9.801e-12 as shown in figure 6.5 the Box-Cox plot would show different lambda values and their associated goodness-of-fit measures. The goal is to identify the lambda value that yields the most desirable distributional properties or variance homogeneity for the stent design data. By examining the plot, you can identify the optimal lambda value, which would be used to transform the data accordingly for further analysis or modeling.







Figure 13 Box-Cox plot for power transforms transforms

for stent design 1

Figure 14 Box-Cox plot for power

for stent design 2

IV CONCLUSION

Comparing the two design results, it is evident that the second design result performs better in terms of accuracy, adjusted R², and signal-to-noise ratio compared to the first design result.

In the first design result, the Predicted R^2 and Adjusted R^2 values are 0.6632 and 0.7481, respectively. While these values indicate a moderate level of explanatory power, they are lower than the corresponding values in the second design result. The second design result has a higher Predicted R^2 of 0.8734 and a higher Adjusted R^2 of 0.9697. This suggests that the second model explains a greater proportion of the variability in the response variable and has better predictive capabilities.

Moreover, the second design result has a higher Adeq Precision value of 19.115, indicating a stronger signal-to-noise ratio compared to the Adeq Precision value of 11.516 in the first design result. This suggests that the second model has less noise and provides more reliable predictions.

Based on these comparisons, it can be concluded that the second design result is more favorable for your project. It demonstrates higher accuracy, better adjustment for predictors, and a stronger signal-to-noise ratio. Therefore, the second model is likely to be more reliable and suitable for navigating the design space in your project compared to the first model.

REFERENCES

[1] Akdogan, G., And O. B. Istanbullu. Damar içi stentlerde malzeme seçiminin ve tasarımının restenoz ve diger stent kaynaklı problemlere etkileri, stentlerin ekonomideki yeri (bir genel derleme). Eur. J. Sci. Technol. 204–215, 2020

[2] Abraha, i.,C. Romagnoli, A. Montedori, and R. Cirocchi, "Thoracic stent graft versus surgery for thoracic aneurysm. Cochrane database syst". Rev. 2016.

[3] Canić s., Tambaca J."Cardiovascular stents" as PDE nets: 1D vs 3DIMA J. Appl. Math., 77 (6) (2018), pp. 748-779.

[4] Bressloff N.W., Ragkousis G., Curzen N " Design optimisation of coronary artery stent systems Ann". Biomed. Eng., 44 (2) (2016),pp. 357-367

[5] Doyle, B., Rihal, C. S., O'Sullivan, C. J., Lennon, R. J., Wiste, H. J., Bell, M., Bresnahan, J., and Holmes, D. R., 2007, "Outcomes of Stent Thrombosis and Restenosis During Extended

DOI:10.46647/ijetms.2023.v07i05.018 ISSN: 2581-4621

Follow-Up of Patients Treated With Bare-Metal Coronary Stents," Circulation, 116(21), pp. 2391–2398.

[6] James, S. K. , Stenestrand, U., Lindbäck, J., Carlsson, J., Scherstén, F., Nilsson, T., Wallentin, L., Lagerqvist, B., and Group, S. S. , 2009, "Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of Drug-Eluting Versus Bare-Metal Stents in Sweden," N. Engl. J. Med., 360(19), pp. 1933–1945.Stone, G. W. , Rizvi, A., Sudhir, K., Newman, W., Applegate, R. J. , Cannon, L. A. , Maddux, J. T. , Cutlip, D. E. , Simonton, C. A. , Sood, P., Kereiakes, D. J. , and Investigators, S. I. , 2011, "Randomized Comparison of Everolimus- and Paclitaxel-Eluting Stents. 2-Year Follow-Up From the SPIRIT (Clinical Evaluation of the XIENCE V Everolimus Eluting Coronary Stent System) IV Trial," J. Am. Coll. Cardiol., 58(1), pp. 19–25.

[7] Leon, M. B., Kandzari, D. E., Eisenstein, E. L., Anstrom, K. J., Mauri, L., Cutlip, D. E., Nikolsky, E., O'Shaughnessy, C., Overlie, P. A., Kirtane, A. J., McLaurin, B. T., Solomon, S. L., Douglas, J. S., Popma, J. J., and Investigators, E. I., 2009, "Late Safety, Efficacy, and Cost-Effectiveness of a Zotarolimus-Eluting Stent Compared With a Paclitaxel-Eluting Stent in Patients With De Novo Coronary Lesions: 2-Year Follow-Up From the ENDEAVOR IV Trial (Randomized, Controlled Trial of the Medtronic Endeavor Drug [ABT-578] Eluting Coronary Stent System Versus the Taxus Paclitaxel-Eluting Coronary Stent System in De Novo Native Coronary Artery Lesions)." JACC Cardiovasc. Interv., 2(12), pp. 1208–1218.

[8] Finn, A. V., Nakazawa, G., Joner, M., Kolodgie, F. D., Mont, E. K., Gold, H. K., and Virmani, R., 2007, "Vascular Responses to Drug Eluting Stents: Importance of Delayed Healing," Arterioscler., Thromb., Vasc. Biol., 27(7), pp. 1500–1510.

[9] Joner, M., Nakazawa, G., Finn, A. V., Quee, S. C., Coleman, L., Acampado, E., Wilson, P. S., Skorija, K., Cheng, Q., Xu, X., Gold, H. K., Kolodgie, F. D., and Virmani, R., 2008, "Endothelial Cell Recovery Between Comparator Polymer-Based Drug-Eluting Stents," J. Am. Coll. Cardiol., 52(5), pp. 333–342.

[10] Kotani, J., Awata, M., Nanto, S., Uematsu, M., Oshima, F., Minamiguchi, H., Mintz, G. S., and Nagata, S., 2006, C: Angioscopic Findings," J. Am. Coll. Cardiol., 47(10), pp. 2108–2111.

[11] LaDisa, J. F., Olson, L. E., Molthen, R. C., Hettrick, D. A., Pratt, P. F., Hardel, M. D., Kersten, J. R., Warltier, D. C., and Pagel, P. S., 2005, "Alterations in Wall Shear Stress Predict Sites of Neointimal Hyperplasia After Stent Implantation in Rabbit Iliac Arteries," Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol., 288(5), pp. H2465–2475.

[12] Liu, S. Q. and Goldman, J., 2001, "Role of Blood Shear Stress in the Regulation of Vascular Smooth Muscle Cell Migration," IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng., 48(4), pp. 474–483.

[13] M. Agrawal et al.Classification of mechanisms of strut malapposition after angiographically optimized stent implantation: an optical coherence tomography studycatheter cardiovasc interv(2017).

[14] Sprague, E. A., Luo, J., and Palmaz, J. C., 2000, "Endothelial Cell Migration Onto Metal Stent Surfaces Under Static and Flow Conditions," J. Long Term Eff. Med. Implants, 10(1–2), pp. 97–110.

[15] LaDisa, J. F., Olson, L. E., Guler, I., Hettrick, D. A., Audi, S. H., Kersten, J. R., Warltier, D., and C.Pagel, P. S., 2004, "Stent Design Properties and Deployment Ratio Influence Indexes of Wall Shear Stress: A Three-Dimensional Computational Fluid Dynamics Investigation Within a Normal Artery," J. Appl. Physiol., 97(1), pp. 424–430.

[16] He, Y., Duraiswamy, N., Frank, A. O., and Moore, J. E., 2005, "Blood Flow in Stented Arteries: A Parametric Comparison of Strut Design Patterns in three Dimensions," ASME J. Biomech. Eng., 127(4), pp. 637–647.

[17] Duraiswamy, N., Schoephoerster, R. T., and Moore, J. E., 2009, "Comparison of Near-Wall Hemodynamic Parameters in Stented Artery Models," ASME J. Biomech Eng, 131(6), p. 061006.

[18] Nagendra Singh; Manoj Kumar Agrawal; Sanjeev Kumar Verma; Ashish Kumar Tiwari. "A Review on Effect of Stress and Strain Distribution on the AA5083 With Respect to Different



Channel Angle of ECAP". *International Research Journal on Advanced Science Hub*, 4, 03, 2022, 57-66. doi: 10.47392/irjash.2022.013

[19] Nagendra Singh; Manoj Kumar Agrawal; Sanjeev Kumar Verma; Ashish Kumar Tiwari. "A Review on Effect of Stress and Strain Distribution on the AA5083 With Respect to Different Channel Angle of ECAP". *International Research Journal on Advanced Science Hub*, 4, 03, 2022, 57-66. doi: 10.47392/irjash.2022.013

[20] Sayuj G K; Rishabh Almel; Rohan G; Shashank Naik; Chandru A. "Design and Analysis of Winglets on GOE767-il Aerofoil". *International Research Journal on Advanced Science Hub*, 03, Special Issue 7S, 2021, 125-131. doi: 10.47392/irjash.2021.221

[21] Chandan Kumar Sangewar; Chinmay Pagey; Sakshi Chauhan; Suganeshwari G. "A Review on the Movie Recommendation System Using Big Data". *International Research Journal on Advanced Science Hub*, 5, Issue 05S, 2023, 376-381. doi: 10.47392/irjash.2023.S051

[22] Murphy, J. B. and Boyle, F. J. , 2010, "A Full-Range, Multi-Variable, CFD-Based Methodology to Identify Abnormal Near-Wall Hemodynamics in a Stented Coronary Artery," Biorheology, 47(2), pp. 117–132.